

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

| Directorate: Environment and Housing | Service area: Waste Management | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Lead person: Kevin Mulvaney | Contact number: 74980 | | | |
| Review of charges at Kirkstall Road and East Leeds waste transfer sites. | | | | |
| Is this a: | | | | |
| Strategy / Policy Y Service / Function Other | | | | |
| If other, please specify | | | | |
| O Blace muside a brief description of what you are accepting | | | | |
| 2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening | | | | |
| Review of trade waste prices charged to businesses and traders that use the Kirkstall Road and East Leeds transfer stations. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

| Questions | Yes | No |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics? | | No |
| Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal? | | No |
| Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom? | | No |
| Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices? | | No |
| Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassmentAdvancing equality of opportunityFostering good relations | | No |

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 4.
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

| 4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment. | | | | |
| Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance). | | | | |
| A) How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (Think about: the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned – see Ai below) with those likely to be affected) | | | | |
| Ai) Is the consultation /engagement listed on Talking Point? Yes No | | | | |
| B) Key findings (Think about: any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another) | | | | |
| C) Actions (Think about: how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment . | | | | |
| Date to scope and plan your impact assessment: | | | | |
| Date to complete your impact assessment | | | | |
| Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title) | | | | |

| 6. Governance, ownership and approval | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| The trade waste prices are reviewed regularly in line with changes in the RPI and landfill tax rates. Due to the redevelopment of the East Leeds recycling site, we are now able to support local businesses by providing more opportunities for them to recycle their waste. As this is cheaper than landfill, it will help local businesses to reduce their operating costs. The new prices have been reviewed to reflect this and are approved in DDN by Susan Upton Chief Officer Waste Management. | | | | |
| Type of Decision being assessed | | | | |
| Please tick as appropriate | | | | |
| Key (Incurring expenditure or making savings over £250,000 each year | | | | |
| and or outcome will have significant effect on communities ling in an area comprising two or more wards) | | | | |
| Major (incurring expenditure or making savings over £100,000 per year) | | | | |
| Significant Other (as Delegate | | | | |
| Administrative (not in conflict with approved policies and do not raise new issues of policy | | | | |
| Name | Job title | Date | | |
| Kevin Mulvaney | Principal Finance Manager | 24/03/14 | | |
| | | | | |
| 7. Publishing | | | | |
| This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity | | | | |
| has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the | | | | |
| screening document will need to be published. | | | | |
| - | · | | | |
| | | | | |
| Date screening completed | 24 | 1 March 2014 | | |
| Date sent to Equality Tean | n | | | |
| Date published | | | | |
| (To be completed by the Equal | lity Team) | | | |